Recovering Time and State for Small Satellites in Deep Space

Interplanetary Small Satellite Conference Presentation

Andrew Dahir Dr. Scott Palo Dr. Dan Kubitschek

April 29th, 2019





Overview of Problem

 To develop the architecture to demonstrate the complete, autonomous cold-start determination (Lost-In-Space) of time and state (Position and Velocity) for interplanetary autonomous optical navigation systems. (1)

Motivation

- Advancing autonomous navigation can ultimately reduce the cost of mission operations, including ground analysis. (2)
- Robustness and reduction in time-to-recovery of faulted systems (1)
- Reduced mission risk for both manned and unmanned spacecraft
- Helps enable small spacecraft missions for deep space



NASA MARCO Cubesats. Credit: NASA JPL



Ann and H.J. Smead Aerospace Engineering Sciences

Be Boulder. 2

Overview of Problem

• Satellites primarily exist in two main locations, Earth orbiting, or in deep space.

Earth Orbiting

Deep Space

- GPS
- Ground Based Tracking
- Radiometric
- Optical Measurements

Lost in Space Problem

- There are two meanings when referring to the "Lost-In-Space" problem
 - Attitude
 - Orbit Determination







Lost in Space Problem

- Attitude "Lost-In-Space" problem
 - Solved via star trackers.
- Current furthest solution for Orbit Determination "Lost-in-Space" problem solves for position in a closed-form environment. (8)
 - Need Time, Position and Velocity (PVT)







Lost in Space Problem

- Processor Reboot
 - Watchdog Timer
 - Power loss
- Software Bug
- Initialization State
 - Rideshare
 - EM-1 Launch
- Memory Corruption
 - Single Event Upsets







Autonomous Navigation

- Autonomous Navigation is described by the following features, (3)
 - a.Self-Contained
 - b.Operating in Real Time
 - c.Nonradiating (Not producing signals that aid in navigation, i.e. range/range rate between spacecraft)
 - d.Not depending on outside operations
- When applied to a spacecraft you obtain a system that is considered autonomous when navigation is performed onboard an orbiting spacecraft in real time without ground support. (4)





Autonomous Navigation Background

AutoNav

- Deep Space 1
- Deep Impact
- STARDUST

Deep-Space Positioning System (DPS)

• Deep Space Atomic Clock

OpNav

In-Situ

 a.Voyager 1
 b.Voyager 2







Possible Sources

• Vast knowledge of autonomous navigation in existence

| Degree of Autonomy | Sensor Measurement | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Autonoumous | Horizon Sensor | Angles to Earth |
| Autonoumous | Stellar Refraction | Angles to Earth |
| Autonoumous | Magnetometer | Angles along Magnetic Field |
| Semi-Autonomous | Forward Link Doppler | Saclar to Ground Station |
| Semi-Autonomous | DIODE | Scalar to Ground Network |
| Semi-Autonomous | GPS Receiver | Spacecraft Position |
| Autonoumous | Landmark Tracker | Angle to Known/Unknown Landmark |
| Autonoumous | Space Sextant | Scalar to Moon |
| Autonoumous | Sun Doppler | Angles to Sun |
| Autonoumous | X-ray Pulsar Navigation | Scalar to Pulsar |
| Autonoumous | Sun Sensor | Angles to Sun |
| Autonoumous | Star Tracker | Angles to Star |
| Autonoumous | Optical navigation | Angle to any Object |

Unusable Plausible Useable





Constraints

- 1. Solution will be low Size Weight and Power (SWaP) and will be able to fit on a cubesat form factor
- 2. A star tracker will be used on the spacecraft, limit 7.5 magnitude
- 3. The spacecraft is solar powered
- 4. The spacecraft is located in deep space between 1 AU and 5 AU
- 5. The spacecraft is within \pm 5° of the ecliptic





Solution - Find Jupiter

- Why?
 - Jupiter offers multiple targets by finding one object
 - Periods of Galilean Moons offer higher fidelity.
 - Jupiter is a bright object in the sky
 - Inner planets are harder to locate
- Time, Position, and Velocity?
 - Just position and velocity gives relative, not absolute
 - Communication schedules would be based on time







Lost-In-Space Concept of Operations

- 1. Determine attitude (star tracker)
- 2. Locate sun-line direction (fine sun sensor)
- 3. Obtain min/max distance from Sun
- 4. Estimate spacecraft distance from Jupiter
- 5. Compute search/scan angle to find Jupiter
- 6. Scan with star tracker, then image process
- 7. Detect Jupiter and estimate location
- 8. Stare at the Jovian system
- 9. Estimate Time, Position, and Velocity







Current Time Solution

- HORIZONS Database used as Ephemeris
 - NASA JPL
- Located at Solar System Center
 - Non-Moving Satellite
- Jupiter Only
 - Nearest Neighbor Search
- Closest two used for higher fidelity search
- 5 day time step plotted

HORIZONS Data: Jupiter and Galilean Moons





Ann and H.J. Smead Aerospace Engineering Sciences

Be Boulder. 12

- 1 day time step plotted
- Closest two points taken to move to higher fidelity





Ann and H.J. Smead Aerospace Engineering Sciences

Be Boulder.

- 1 hour time step plotted
- Closest two points taken to move to higher fidelity



Be Boulder. 14



- Highest fidelity from astroquery python module.
 - 5 decimal places in RA/DEC

Corresponds to highest fidelity of 24 seconds.



University of Colorado Boulder

Ann and H.J. Smead Aerospace Engineering Sciences

Be Boulder. 1

- Highest fidelity from HORIZONS
 database
 - 7 decimal places in RA/DEC

Corresponds to highest fidelity of 500 milliseconds.



Be Boulder.



Stationary Time Solution

Once initial satellite position is calculated

- 1. Calculated satellite position difference from ephemeris truth data, (vector direction)
- 2. Compare to vector star tracker data (vector direction)
- Match closest to get time

 Nearest Neighbor
- 4. Upper and Lower bound based on irradiance



Be Boulder. 17



Advanced Time Solution

Stationary Solution for Time

| | .1% Error | 1% Error | 5% Error | 10% Error |
|------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 1 AU | 1.5 hours | 16 hours | 74 hours | 6 days |
| 2 AU | 3 hours | 30 hours | 6 days | 11.4 days |
| 3 AU | 4.5 hours | 42 hours | 8.6 days | 16.5 days |
| 4 AU | 5.5 hours | 54 hours | 11 days | 21.2 days |



Be Boulder. 18



Multi-Mission Optical Navigation Program (ONP)

- ONP
 - Used for Optical Navigation since Mariner 9
 - Developed by NASA JPL
 - Maintained by the Optical Navigation Group
- Three Main Programs
 - Trajectory Geometry Program (TGP) Picture prediction tool
 - Optical Observables and Partials Generator (OOPG) analyzes observations before the filtering process
 - Optical Data Analysis Program (ODAP) Filtering tool





Algorithm Approach

- Batch Estimation
 - Initial time, position, and velocity.

| State | Observations | Dynamics |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Time | Jupiter Position | Spacecraft Attitude |
| Position | Jupiter Velocity | Spacecraft Velocity |
| Velocity | Io Position | |
| | Io Velocity | |
| | Europa Position | |
| | Europa Velocity | |
| | Ganymede Position | |
| | Ganymede Velocity | |
| | Callisto Position | |
| | Callisto Velocity | |
| | Star Tracker Vector | |
| | Sun Vector | |

- Last saved state <24 Hours
- Start with perfect position and velocity knowledge and see how good we can resolve time.

$$a \ priori = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{\odot} \\ \phi_{\odot} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{r} = Position \ Vector$$

$$\vec{r} = Velocity \ Vector$$

$$\vec{t} = Velocity \ Vector$$

$$\Delta t = Time \ Difference$$

$$J_{\vec{r}} = Jupiter \ Position$$

$$\vec{b} = Star \ Tracker \ Quaternion$$

$$\rho_{\odot} = Sun \ Distance$$

$$\phi_{\odot} = Satellite - Sun \ angle$$





Questions?





Sources

- 1. Time Out Recovering Time & State for Autonomous Navigation Systems in Deep Space, SURP Concept Paper FY2018, Daniel Kubitschek, Research Faculty – Laboratory for Atmospheric & Space Physics (LASP)
- 2. <u>https://www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/2015 nasa technology roadmaps ta 5 communication and navigation final.p</u> <u>df</u>
- 3. Chory, M. A., Hoffman, D. P., Major, C. S., and Spector, V. A., "Autonomous Navigation Where We Are in 1984," *AIAA Guidance and Control Conference*, AIAA, Seattle, WA, Aug. 1984, pp. 27-37, AIAA Paper 84-1826.
- 4. Autonomous Navigation in Libration Point Orbits, Keric A. Hill, University of Colorado Boulder
- 5. Autonomous Optical Navigation (AutoNav) DS1 Technology Validation Report, J.E. Riedel Et. All, JPL
- 6. Autonomous Navigation for Deep Space Missions, Shyam Bhaskaran, JPL
- 7. <u>https://scienceandtechnology.jpl.nasa.gov/research/research-topics-list/communications-computing-software/deep-space-navigation</u>
- 8. Sergei Tanygin. Closed-form solution for lost-in-space visual navigation problem. Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, 37(6):1754{1766, 2014.
- 9. <u>https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/blog/2015/2/dawns-approach-takes-shape-as-the-face-of-ceres-is-revealed</u>
- 10. <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267220910_USING_EARTH_OBSERVATION_PAYLOAD_RESOURCES_FOR_A</u> UTONOMOUS_ON-BOARD_NAVIGATION_OF_LEO-SATELLITES



